

# Minates

# February 12, 2019 Council Chambers – Lower Level 57 East 1<sup>st</sup> Street 4:30 PM

A work session of the Design Review Board was held at the City of Mesa Council Chamber – Lower Level, 57 East 1<sup>st</sup> Street at 4:30 p.m.

# **Board Members Present:**

Nicole Posten-Thompson - Chair J. Seth Placko – Vice Chair Sean Banda Randy Carter Jeanette Knudsen

#### **Staff Present:**

Nana Appiah Tom Ellsworth Lesley Davis Wahid Alam Veronica Gonzalez Cassidy Welch Ryan McCann Matt Klyzeiko

# **Board Members Absent:**

None

#### **Others Present:**

John Manross Eric Gumm Brent Fike Michael Freeze Joe Walters Neil Feaser John Manross Vince Di Bella Jim Syvertsen Paul Devers Paul Hansen Jack Czerwinski Brent Tremaine Jose Pombo Ralph Pew Others Present

Chair Posten-Thompson welcomed everyone to the Work Session at 4:38 p.m.

A.1. DRB18-00697 2055 South Power Road

**LOCATION/ADDRESS:** Located at the southeast corner of Power and Baseline Road

**REQUEST:** This is a review of a mixed-use project

**COUNCIL DISTRICT:** District 6

OWNER: Infinity Mesa, LLC
APPLICANT: Identity Mutual, LLC
ARCHITECT: Michael Mosharrafa

**STAFF PLANNER:** Wahid Alam

**Discussion:** Staffmember Alam introduced the project to the Board.

The applicants, Michael Freeze, ADW Architects, 2815 Coliseum Centre Dr., Suite 500, Charlotte, NC; and Joe Walters, Mutual Development Company, LLC, 2980 E. Northern Ave., A, Phoenix presented the project to the Board.

# Chair Posten-Thompson

• Liked the project

#### Boardmember Carter

- Stated that the building was well articulated
- Liked the mix of proposed uses
- Liked the signage and entry features on the retail side of the project
- Stated that the southeast corner of the project was well articulated
- Confirmed with the applicant that the color detail "MP1" was a faux wood product

#### Boardmember Banda

• Confirmed with the applicant that the accent lighting on the towers will be an uplight within a warmer Kelvin color range

A.2. DRB18-00901 Within the 1600 block of South Alma School Road (east side)

Located at the southeast corner of Alma School Road and U.S. 60

**REQUEST:** This is a review of a self-storage facility

COUNCIL DISTRICT: District 3

OWNER: PV Alma School Road, LLC

**APPLICANT:** RKAA Architects, Inc

ARCHITECT: Neil Feaser STAFF PLANNER: Ryan McCann

**Discussion:** Staffmember McCann introduced the project to the Board. Staffmember McCann stated that Staff was concerned about the use of the metal material for the addition as the rest of the building is comprised of concrete tilt-up construction, and it will be visible to neighbors. Staffmember McCann also requested Vice Chair Placko's opinion on the chosen tree species for the parking diamonds. Staffmember McCann confirmed for the Board that only the two structural additions at the rear of the site are to be considered in this review.

The applicant, Neil Feaser, RKAA Architects, Inc., 2233 E. Thomas Rd., Phoenix presented the project to the Board.

# Chair Posten-Thompson

- Confirmed with the applicant that the façade will be a flat-panel metal exterior and that it will not be covered with stucco
- Suggested a parapet on the rake side, and letting the building "shed off" on the pitch side
- Confirmed that there are no external downspouts on the existing building, and recommended that the Board insist on internal downspouts on the addition

#### **Boardmember Carter**

- Recommended a parapet around the building
- Was agreeable to allow the applicant to use internal drains and exterior downspouts, but only on the rear of the building
- Was concerned that the metal additions appeared "plopped-on" to the existing concrete tilt-up building, and that the integration needed to be more harmonious

#### Boardmember Banda

- Was concerned that the metal roof didn't retain the integrity of the original building and created an industrial feel on a commercial building, adjacent to a residential neighborhood
- Recommended a parapet on all sides with internal scuppers, to minimize the metallic industrial appearance
- Suggested using concrete tilt-slab construction for the addition, if the integration was not more seamless with the metal materials

#### Boardmember Knudsen

Confirmed where on the site the new additions were going to be constructed

A.3. DRB18-00935 Within the 4600 through 4700 blocks of East Ingram Street

(north side)

Located north and east of the northeast corner of Greenfield and

McLellan Roads

**REQUEST:** Review of an industrial/warehouse building

COUNCIL DISTRICT: District 5

OWNER: Nesbitt Properties, LLC APPLICANT: Design Professionals, LLC

ARCHITECT: John Manross STAFF PLANNER: Wahid Alam

**Discussion:** Staffmember Alam introduced the project to the Board.

The applicant, John Manross, Design Professionals, LLC, 4542 E. McKellips Road., presented the project to the Board.

#### Chair Posten-Thompson

- The entries are not clearly defined
- Suggested eliminating the two canopies towards the center of the front side of the building, and using only one canopy to denote the entrance
- Suggested using vertical banding to highlight the entrance
- Appreciated the height, depth changes, and scoring lines
- Liked the blue color in the canopies
- Suggested using a gray color on the building
- Didn't like the yellow color shown
- Supportive of either using masonry or integral tilt-up for the structure

#### Boardmember Carter

- The building could use design inspiration from the existing buildings to the east and west of the project
- The architecture needed to be brought up to the level of the existing architecture in the surrounding area
- Suggested using grays and other colors to contemporize the building
- Concerned that the canopies may appear "too heavy".
- More could be done with the doors and canopies
- More could be done with color and texture
- Suggested blending the architecture with the same owner's existing adjacent building to the west of the site
- Suggested removing some of the horizontal lines in the architecture to accentuate the verticality of the building, especially on the southern elevation
- Encouraged the architect to respond to his original vision more strongly

- Suggested updating the color and material palette and feel of the building
- Felt that the building appeared too heavy
- Appreciated the "movement" in the architecture
- Didn't like the palette and materials
- Didn't think the building had a contemporary feel
- Felt that the composition of the architecture didn't come together well
- Stated that the lower windows made the building feel heavier, and suggested some verticality, (maybe taller windows in one area) to "lighten" up the building
- Suggested alternating colors, possibly even the colors of the canopies, to create contrast
- The building has a dated feel to it
- Liked the "ins and outs" of the building plane

A.4. DRB18-00981 Within the 2600 block of North Power Road (east side)

Located south of the southeast corner of Power and McDowell

Roads

**REQUEST:** This is a review of a multi-residence community

**COUNCIL DISTRICT:** District 5

OWNER: Aguila Las Sendas, LLC APPLICANT: Continental 452 Fund, LLC

**REPRESENTATIVE**: Eric Gumm STAFF PLANNER: Wahid Alam

**Discussion:** Staffmember Alam introduced the project to the Board. Staffmember Alam stated that Staff felt that the building is designed well but the theme is dated.

The applicant, Eric Gumm, Continental 452 Fund, LLC, W134 N8675 Executive Parkway, Menomonee Falls, WI, presented the project to the Board.

# Chair Posten-Thompson

- Liked the contemporary look of the clubhouse/community building
- Stated that the project looked like it was in Flagstaff, AZ
- Liked the contemporary color palette
- Stated that the posts and railings were spindly, and the trim around doors and windows was too thin
- Didn't like the dark heavy mass of roofing, felt that it went against the aesthetic of the area
- Didn't like the stacked cultured stone, felt that it was too reminiscent of the '90s
- Liked the garage element
- Liked the flat roof component of the clubhouse/community building
- Suggested a more updated stone element
- Wanted internalized downspouts
- Suggested using a horizontal metal siding, horizontal tile, or a painted horizontal Hardie board to bring in a rich element to break up all the stucco

#### Boardmember Carter

- Confirmed with Staff that the project was not located within the Desert Uplands Character Area.
- Didn't like the architecture, felt that it appeared dated ('70s), or like architecture found in Northern States
- Liked the "ins and outs" of the façade
- Liked the balconies
- Liked the dual enclosed staircase
- Didn't think the "packaging" of the building was right for the area
- Suggested using the Desert Uplands Design Guidelines for inspiration
- Liked the roof form
- Didn't like the materials used and the thinness of the columns
- Liked the clubhouse/community building, and stated that it could be used as an example
  of what could be done with the rest of the complex
- Didn't like the fascia

#### Boardmember Banda

- Suggested using some of the design features found on the San Posada Apartment complex located at 2318 S. Country Club Dr. to capture more of the Desert Uplands feel
- Stated that if the applicant is set on this architectural style, clapboard and batten board, and other true materials should be used, instead of stucco with score lines
- Suggested using warmer lighting to match the architecture, within the 3000 Kelvin range

#### Boardmember Knudsen

Liked the contemporary look of the clubhouse/community building

A.5. DRB18-00983 Within the 700 block of South Stapley Drive (east side)

**LOCATION/ADDRESS:** Located at the northeast corner of Stapley Drive and 8<sup>th</sup> Avenue

**REQUEST:** This is a review of a multi-tenant retail center

COUNCIL DISTRICT: District 4

**OWNER:** Adaptive Architects, Inc

**APPLICANT:** Pro Tax Financial Services, LLC

ARCHITECT: Vince Di Bella STAFF PLANNER: Wahid Alam

**Discussion:** Staffmember Alam introduced the project to the Board.

The applicant, Vince Di Bella, Adaptive Architects, Inc., 1630 S. Stapley Dr., Mesa, presented the project to the Board.

#### Chair Posten-Thompson

- Liked the project
- Suggested adding some of the yellow color on the front to the back of the building
- Recommended spacing out the oleanders more along the perimeter

#### Boardmember Carter

• Recommended spacing out the oleanders more along the perimeter

- Liked the signage placement
- Liked the project
- Suggested placing signage on the canopy of the 2<sup>nd</sup> suite and to mimic the "ins and outs" of the signage with the canopy
- Liked the front of the building and sides of the building
- · Concerned about the flatness of the rear of the building
- Recommended spacing out the oleanders more along the perimeter

A.6. DRB18-00988 Within the 7200 block of South Ellsworth Road (west side)

Located south of the southwest corner of Pecos and Ellsworth

Roads

**REQUEST:** This is a review of a carwash

**COUNCIL DISTRICT:** District 6

OWNER: Sunbelt Land Holdings, LP APPLICANT: Cawley Architects, LLC

ARCHITECT: Paul Devers
STAFF PLANNER: Cassidy Welch

**Discussion:** Staffmember Welch introduced the project to the Board.

The applicant, Paul Devers, Cawley Architects, 730 N. 52nd St., Phoenix, presented the project to the Board. The applicant offered to soften the proportions of the center component by lengthing it, and playing with the location of the windows along the tunnel.

# Chair Posten-Thompson

- Confirmed with the applicant that there is a canvas roof on top of the wash tunnel
- Liked the design
- Suggested adding a more unique roof
- Liked the elegant usage of the materials
- Liked the ground face exposed aggregate honed-CMU block
- Concerned about the heaviness of the center component of the building along the wash tunnel
- Liked the proposal of extending the horizontal ribbing material out 4" off the façade to create texture and shadow lines
- Liked the building, but wanted to see more flamboyance in the architecture

#### **Boardmember Carter**

- Liked the materials
- Stated that the building was too flat on one side
- Suggested enlarging or increasing the involvement of the "L" shaped window accents so they appear as more of a visual element to break up the architecture
- Was concerned that even with expanded windows on the center portion of the building, the plane was still an extremely flat façade
- Confirmed that the center portion is 4" out from the façade of the building
- Suggested extending the horizontal ribbing out 4" off the façade to create texture and shadow lines
- Liked the building, but wanted to see more flamboyance in the architecture

# Boardmember Banda

- Suggested a larger overexaggerated box panel, with either a bronze or Core-Ten look.
   Stated that the box panel would look good offset from the stone, and would create a more contemporary look
- Liked the ground face exposed aggregate honed-CMU block
- Liked the modified rendering
- Suggested using an aged look or Core-Ten color on the horizontal ribbing material

# Boardmember Knudsen

• Liked the elegant usage of the materials

A.7. DRB18-00996 Within the 8400 block of East Broadway Road (north side) and

the 200 and 300 blocks of South Hawes Road (east side)

**LOCATION/ADDRESS:** Located at the northeast corner of Hawes and Broadway Roads

**REQUEST:** This is a review of a multi-residence community

COUNCIL DISTRICT: District 5

OWNER: NSHE Porterville, LLC APPLICANT: Todd & Associates, Inc

ARCHITECT: Brent Fike STAFF PLANNER: Wahid Alam

**Discussion:** Staffmember Alam introduced the project to the Board. Planning Director Appiah confirmed with the Board that a darker, more subdued green color on the building would be preferred.

The applicant, Brent Fike, Todd & Associates, Inc., 4019 N. 44th St., Phoenix, and the developer, Russ Condas, Dominium Development/Management 2905 Northwest Blvd., Plymouth, MN, presented the project to the Board.

# Chair Posten-Thompson

- Didn't like the chosen colors, specifically the green color, and would like to see a darker green color used
- Stated that the windows were too flat on the façade
- Liked the design of the monument sign
- Confirmed with the applicant that the parapet is topped with a metal cap and encouraged the applicant to play with color on the metal capping

#### Vice-Chair Placko

- Liked the use of artificial turf in courtyards
- Concerned with the use of ocotillos and golden barrel cacti in a predominately lush and green landscape design.
- Stated that if golden barrel cacti were to be used, they should be planted in a strategic location as an architectural focal-point
- Didn't like the use of ocotillos and pygmy palms together, suggested removing one or the other
- Was supportive of using Dalbergia Sissoos trees if they were planted in the shown 20' wide landscape planter
- Suggested mixing the Dalbergia Sissoo trees with other trees, possibly Chinese Elms, or Texas Ebonies
- Stressed the importance of planting shade tolerant plants in shaded areas
- Stressed the importance of not planting thorned plants along pedestrian routes
- Stated that there wasn't a great amount of shrub material along the east property line

#### Boardmember Carter

- Didn't like the proposed green color, and was concerned that it would appear like the green color on the apartments at 855 W. Southern Ave.
- Liked the screened mesh railing system on the balconies
- Didn't like the flat appearance of the windows, and was concerned with the lack of cornices and trim
- Liked the architectural corner elements of the building
- Stated that the middle of the building appeared "flat"
- Liked the setback and buffering from the neighborhood achieved through the site plan

#### Boardmember Banda

- Was concerned about the blending of the Vertical Terminal Air Conditioner (VTAC) into the architecture
- Was concerned that the individual VTAC Condenser Units could be seen behind the parapets
- Wanted recessed windows, to create shadow lines and depth in the architecture
- Concerned that the brick doesn't do justice to the architecture, suggested using alternating larger/longer honed CMU block instead
- Appreciated the amount of consideration that went into the site plan
- Liked the form and "movement" in the architecture
- Concerned about the longevity, durability, and invasiveness of the proposed Dalbergia Sissoo trees
- Suggested using the barrel cacti as focal points and/or architectural features in the landscape design

#### Boardmember Knudsen

- Liked the project
- Encouraged the applicant to use more earth tone colors on project

A.8. DRB18-01003 Within the 7300 block of South Ellsworth Road (west side)

Located south of the southwest corner of Pecos and Ellsworth

Roads

**REQUEST:** This is a review of a drive-thru restaurant

**COUNCIL DISTRICT:** District 6

OWNER: Sunbelt Land Holdings, LP APPLICANT: Sustainability Engineering Group

ARCHITECT: Eric J. Gerster STAFF PLANNER: Cassidy Welch

**Discussion:** Staffmember Welch introduced the project to the Board.

The applicant, Paul Hansen, Sustainable Engineering Group, 8280 E. Gelding Dr., Suite 101, Scottsdale, presented the project to the Board.

# Chair Posten-Thompson

- Didn't like the use of EFS, but thought the design was cohesive
- Wanted to see site and landscape plans

- Liked the metal striations
- Liked the fenestrated masonry with the interior coloration
- Liked the "tie-in" with the elements
- Liked the overhang
- Liked the thicker columns
- Liked the "Home of the Whopper" sign on the edge

A.9. DRB18-01009 Within the 3400 and 3500 blocks of North Greenfield Road

(east side)

**LOCATION/ADDRESS:** Located at the southeast corner of Greenfield Road and the 202

Red Mountain Freeway

**REQUEST:** This is a review of an industrial/warehouse building

COUNCIL DISTRICT: District 5

OWNER: Colebank Family, LP
APPLICANT: Majestic Realty Co.
ARCHITECT: Jack Czerwinski
STAFF PLANNER: Ryan McCann

**Discussion:** Staffmember McCann introduced the project to the Board. He informed the Board that Staff was concerned about the exposed downspouts on the building, and if the Board thought that additional screening measures were necessary.

The applicants, Jack Czerwinski and Brent Tremaine, Majestic Realty, 2555 E. Camelback Rd., Suite 740, Phoenix, presented the project to the Board.

# Chair Posten-Thompson

- Stated that the building was very gray
- Was concerned about the maintenance of the white color
- Confirmed with the applicant that there are clerestory windows on the building
- Stated that the downspouts should be internalized per standard Board direction

#### Vice-Chair Placko

Questioned the applicants use of external downspouts

#### Boardmember Carter

- Was concerned that the lightly-colored building would "disappear" in the sunlight
- Suggested enhancing the building with more color
- Liked the building forms, the "ins and outs", and the decorative entrances

- Stated that the Light Reflective Value (LRV) of 85 on the chosen white color was too bright for such a large building, and suggested using a white color in the high 70s to low 80s range
- Didn't like the "tufting button" materials, stated that it took away from the contemporary look of the building
- Suggested removing the "tufting buttons", and using long, vertical, differently-colored form liner instead
- Liked the overall form

A.10. DRB18-01015 330 East Southern Avenue (north side)

Located west of the northwest corner of Southern Avenue and

Mesa Drive

**REQUEST:** This is a review of an expansion of a medical marijuana

dispensary

COUNCIL DISTRICT: District 4

OWNER: RMEG Investments, LLC APPLICANT: Arc One Associates, LLC

**ARCHITECT:** Josh Oehler

**STAFF PLANNER:** Veronica Gonzalez

**Discussion:** Staffmember Gonzalez introduced the project to the Board.

The applicant, Jim Syvertsen, Arc One Associates, 1427 N. 3rd St., Suite 100, Phoenix, presented the project to the Board.

#### Chair Posten-Thompson

- Suggested wrapping the bottom 2'-3' of the existing street-abutting buildings with a new material (possibly a 2" honed CMU veneer)
- Suggested adding material/color to the building in the front of site along Southern Ave.
- Was concerned about the non-compliant lighting
- Confirmed with Staff that asphalt would be removed from the front of the buildings, and replaced with landscaping to soften the impact along Southern Ave.
- Wanted more work to be done to the dispensary building
- Liked the laser-cut metal accents, encouraged the design to be incorporated into the existing buildings as well
- Suggested a more formal planting pattern in the new landscape areas along Southern
- Confirmed with Staff that the central building on-site, set off Southern Ave. will be demolished
- Suggested that another material (possibly gray split-face CMU or honed CMU, or paneling) should be added to the rear building and incorporated into the buildings closer to the street
- Liked the design element created with the metal roofing
- Confirmed with the applicant that the tall metal panels shown are slightly angled to create unique shadow lines
- Suggested adding lighting behind the laser cut metal (possibly mint green lighting)

#### Vice-Chair Placko

- Confirmed with Staff that asphalt would be removed from the front of the buildings, and replaced with landscaping to soften the impact along Southern Ave.
- Concerned about the impact that dead vegetation would have on a formal planting pattern
- Suggested that the parking screen wall should be moved 2' away from the adjacent parking spaces to allow for car doors to be opened without interference

#### Boardmember Banda

- Was concerned about adding a new building onto a site with existing lackluster buildings
- Liked the laser cut metal panels
- Suggested more laser cut metal panels to be used on the existing buildings
- Suggested incorporating additional materials into the architecture
- Suggested using a more modern landscaping design (possibly Barrel cacti, agave)
- Felt that the landscape wall may need more detailing (possibly honed gray CMU)
- Suggested installing a parking lot lighting instead of the existing abrasive wall-mounted lights on the existing building
- Liked the metal roofing on the dispensary
- Suggested installing a more interesting gate (possibly laser cut metal/rusted metal/perforated material/offset panels)
- Suggested adding lighting behind the laser cut metal (possibly mint green lighting)
- Appreciated the tie-ins and the enhancements

#### Boardmember Knudsen

• Wanted the architecture to be more fun

# B. Call to Order

Chair Posten-Thompson called the meeting to order at 6:50 pm

# C. Consider the Minutes from the January 8, 2019 meeting

On a motion by Boardmember Carter and seconded by Boardmember Banda, the Board unanimously approved the January 8, 2019 minutes.

**Vote**: 5-0

Upon tabulation of vote, it showed:

AYES - Posten-Thompson, Placko, Banda, Carter, Knudsen

NAYS - None

D. <u>Discuss and review the following Design Review cases for action at the February 12, 2019 Meeting:</u>

Provide comments and make recommendation to the City Council on the following:

D.1. DRB19-00061 Gallery Park Design Guidelines, Within the 4900 to 5200 blocks

of South Power Road (east side)

**LOCATION/ADDRESS:** Located at the northeast corner of Ray and Power Roads

**REQUEST:** Provide comments and make recommendation to City Council for

the Gallery Park Design Guidelines

**COUNCIL DISTRICT:** District 6

**OWNER:** Arizona State Land Department

APPLICANT: VIVO Partners
ARCHITECT: Jose Pombo
STAFF PLANNER: Lisa Davis

**Staff Planner:** Lisa Davis

**Staff Recommendation:** Approval with Conditions

**Discussion:** Staffmember Davis introduced the project to the Board, and clarified that the 58 acre site has a current application for rezoning that includes a PAD overlay. The applicant has proposed the Gallery Park Design Guidelines as part of a proposed PAD. The purpose of the design guidelines is to reinforce the proposed high quality development for Gallery Park. Staffmember Ellsworth added that Staff is comfortable with the level of specific detail provided in the Design Guidelines, and that since the Guidelines are part of the justification for the PAD, they would need to be approved as part of the rezoning case by City Council.

Ralph Pew, Pew & Lake, P.L.C., 1744 S. Val Vista, Suite 217., and Jose Pombo, VIVO Partners, 4650 E. Cotton Center Dr., Phoenix presented the project to the Board.

#### Chair Posten-Thompson

- Clarified that the "Main Street" area (Lots 5-6, and 15-18 as shown on the submitted site plan) of the project are being considered by the applicant to be developed by the development company due to its importance to the project. The applicant also clarified that the entertainment use is not planned to be developed by VIVO Partners, but the office uses may.
- Liked the quality and design envisioned for the development

#### Vice-Chair Placko

- Confirmed with the applicant that there is a planned cohesive irrigation system to be installed along the "Main Street" (Lots 5-6, and 15-18) area, but the other lots within the site may be sold to other users, so individual irrigation systems are planned for those areas
- Confirmed with the applicant that VIVO Partners controls the landscape for the development at the frontage on the arterial streets bordering the parcel and adjacent to the perimeter of the site
- Stated the importance of having provisions guiding landscaping maintenance and replacement in the Design Guidelines

#### Boardmember Carter

- Liked the thoroughness of the Design Guidelines
- Liked the material board and examples
- Liked the eclectic mix of design

#### Boardmember Banda

- Was concerned about the process of amendment to the Design Guidelines, specifically with the flexibility that might allow lower grade materials/finishes
- Confirmed that the developer will review all phases of development prior to submittal to the City for approval.
- Appreciated the succinct visioning for the project
- Appreciated that the visuals used in the Design Guidelines convey a truth in materiality

#### Boardmember Knudsen

- Stated the importance of designing the project to accommodate citizens of all generations and abilities
- Expressed her support for the development

On a motion by Boardmember Carter and seconded by Boardmember Banda, the Board unanimously recommended approval of Case DRB19-00061, Gallery Park Design Guidelines, to the City Council.

**Vote:** 5-0

Upon tabulation of vote, it showed:

AYES – Posten-Thompson, Placko, Banda, Carter, Knudsen

NAYS - None

#### E. Other Business:

### Item E.1. Receive a presentation and discuss the draft Quality Design Guidelines

**Discussion:** Staffmember Gonzalez and consultant Matt Klyszeiko presented the draft Quality Design Guidelines to the Board.

### Chair Posten-Thompson

Wanted the Design Guidelines to be easily accessible for developer/architect usage

#### Vice-Chair Placko

- Concerned about "guidelines" evolving to be enforced as rules, which would restrict designer creativity
- Recommended the guidelines address maintenance of landscaping

#### **Boardmember Carter**

- Concerned about "guidelines" evolving to be enforced as rules, which would restrict designer creativity
- Need to ensure guidelines include truth in materials
- Thought it was a well-done and necessary document

# E. Adjournment

Meeting was adjourned.

The City of Mesa is committed to making its public meetings accessible to persons with disabilities. For special accommodations, please contact the City Manager's Office at (480) 644-3333 or AzRelay 7-1-1 at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting. Si necesita asistencia o traducción en español, favor de llamar al menos 48 horas antes de la reunión al 480-644-2767.